

Performance, Usability and Acceptance of the Blood-Based INSTI HIV Self Test in High and Low HIV Prevalent Populations

Results and lessons learned from population-based usability and performance studies with first time self-testers in sub-Saharan Africa

Rick Galli Chief Technical Officer bioLytical Laboratories

Disclosure: I am employed by bioLytical Laboratories Inc. Studies in Kenya and Congo were sponsored by bioLytical.



INSTI HIV Self Test

- Two versions, same contents, flowthrough rapid test platform similar to CLIA waived POC INSTI HIV test, except:
 - Simplified Instructions for Use
 - No capillary pipette (single free flowing drop of blood)
- Results in 1 minute

4 studies were conducted in 2017-2018 in sub-Saharan Africa...





1 HSTAR-001: INSTI Usability Assessment

Wits RHI, Johannesburg, SA.

The *purpose* of this observed Usability Assessment was to document if "lay" people, nonprofessional and inexperienced in HIV self-testing, can successfully perform the steps to use the INSTI HIV Self Test without product familiarization. **Primary Objectives** were to document and record:

- 1. Label comprehension (understanding of Instructions for Use, test limitations, test goal, inspection of test components)
- 2. Usability / user interaction with the device and accuracy of testing process
- 3. Results interpretation (contrived results)

Subjects were observed performing the self-test procedure, but did not interpret their own results...



HSTAR-001: Participant demographics

- Participants enrolled: 200, no prior self testing
- Gender: 48% male, 52% female
- Age: 18-65; majority 18-35.
- Education: ¹/₃ < gr. 7; ¹/₃ gr. 8-12; ¹/₃ > college/university
- Employment: 48.5% unemployed



HSTAR-001: Outcomes

Usability Aspect (n=200)	Outcome (%)
Complete critical steps correctly	96.5
Mock results interpreted correctly	97.4
Device and IFU easy to use	99.0
Would use this test again	98.5
Would recommend this test	97.5
Knew to go to a clinic if positive	99.0
Successfully have a blood drop fall into INSTI Sample Diluent	85.5

Good usability in the hands of intended users, how would INSTI perform in prospective field studies...?



2 Performance and usability evaluation of the INSTI HIV Self Test in Kenya

PLoS ONE 13(9): e0202491. Open access, Bwana, P et al <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0202491</u>

Background:

- Kenya has an adult HIV prevalence rate of 5.4%, with approximately 1.6 million people living with HIV.
- Of these, approximately 53% are unaware of their HIV status.
- In 2017, under a collaboration with CHAI, bioLytical sponsored a study from the Kenya Medical Research Institute (KEMRI) to assess performance and usability of the INSTI HIV Self Test.





KEMRI: Study Design

- Cross sectional, observed study of consenting adults in Western Kenya conducted at market centers and village squares, supported by village chiefs and county administrations.
- Known HIV positive patients were recruited from clinics in the study area.
- For <u>method comparison</u>, self-interpreted INSTI HIV Self Test results were compared to the Kenya national algorithm test methods including 4th gen HIV Ag/Ab EIA for calculation of sensitivity and specificity.
- The **usability** study was a mixed methods, directly observed, qualitative (questionnaire-driven) study.
- For **<u>readability</u>**, the first 91 participants from the methods comparison study were given contrived test units and asked to interpret the results.

bioLytical'

The INSTI HIV Self Test instructions were provided in English on one side...



bioLytical'

...and Swahili on the other side.





Results: Performance Evaluation

- A total of 476 (207 HIV positive, 269 HIV negative) subjects completed the self test and provided venous blood for 4th gen EIA testing
- From the 470 subjects¹ with valid INSTI and 4th gen EIA results:
 - INSTI Sensitivity: 98.99% (199/201)
 - INSTI Specificity: 98.15% (264/269)



KEMRI: INSTI Usability Outcomes

Usability Aspect	HSTAR-001 (%) n=200	KEMRI (%) n=350
Complete critical steps correctly	96.5	98.9
Results interpreted correctly	97.4 (mock)	98.6
Device and IFU easy to use	99.0	96.9
Would use this test again	98.5	96.6
Would recommend this test	97.5	97.2
Successfully have a blood drop fall into INSTI Sample Diluent	85.5	85.6



Results: Contrived Results Interpretation n=91

- All the 91 participants correctly identified strong positive, negative and invalid results
- Only 31 were able to identify weak positive results: *likely due to the Swahili IFU not having an image of a weak positive result.*

Good overall usability and performance, however observers assisted in sample collection as needed. Mitigation required to improve fingerstick blood sample collection and interpretation of weak positive results...

3 HSTAR-003: Controlled study to evaluate the performance of the INSTI HIV Self Test by untrained laypersons. Wits RHI, Johannesburg, SA.



Study Design

bioLytical

- Prospective, observed, cross-sectional field study
- Each participant was presented with an INSTI HIV Self-Test and asked to perform the test on their own with no interaction from the observer.
- The participant was evaluated for self-testing Usability, Interpretation, and Comprehension.
- All results were compared to the South Africa standard HIV testing algorithm (4th gen HIV Ag/Ab EIA) for determining sensitivity and specificity
- Consecutive recruitment was initiated until 850 unassisted HIV Self-Test results were obtained and 900 subjects completed all usability elements.



HSTAR-003: Performance Evaluation

- A total of 850 (99 HIV positive, 751 HIV negative) subjects completed the self-test and provided venous blood for 4th gen EIA testing
- 3/900 subjects quit the study and 47 subjects interpreted their results as invalid or "do not know"
- From the 850 subjects with valid INSTI and 4th gen EIA results:
 - INSTI Sensitivity: 98.99% (98/99)
 - INSTI Specificity: 100% (751/751)



HSTAR-003: INSTI Usability Outcomes

Usability Aspect	KEMRI (%) n=350	HSTAR-003 (%) n=900
Complete critical steps correctly	98.9	96.8
Results interpreted correctly	98.6	99.9
Device and IFU easy to use	96.9	99.4
Would use this test again	96.6	95.7
Would recommend this test	97.2	97.3
Successfully have a blood drop fall into INSTI Sample Diluent	85.6	96.2

IFU mitigations led to significant improvement in fingerstick blood drop sample collection and addition



4

Congo-001: A Study to Evaluate the Accuracy, Usability and Readability of the INSTI HIV Self Test Performed by Observed Intended Users in Republic of Congo Testing Sites

Background:

- Congo has an adult HIV prevalence rate of <3%. (low prevalence)
- 75% of the population of 4 million live in the two major urban centers Pointe Noire and Brazzaville.
- The study was conducted on consenting residents of rural and urban regions.
- Prior to this study, no HIV self testing had been conducted in the Republic of Congo



Study Design

- Prospective, observed cross-sectional field study similar to HSTAR-003
- Each participant was presented with a packaged INSTI HIV Self Test and asked to perform the test on their own in the presence of a non-interactive observer.
- The participant was evaluated for self-testing **Usability**, **Interpretation**, and **Comprehension**.
- All self-test results (participant self-reported result) were compared to the Republic of Congo standard HIV testing algorithm (4th gen EIA) for sensitivity and specificity.
- Consecutive recruitment was initiated until 500 unassisted HIV Self-Test results were obtained and 500 subjects completed all usability elements.

Congo-001



Results: Congo-001 Performance Evaluation

- A total of 500 (392 male, 108 female) subjects completed the self test and provided venous blood for 4th gen EIA testing.
- From the 478 subjects¹ with valid INSTI and 4th gen EIA results:
 - INSTI Sensitivity: 100% (11/11)
 - INSTI Specificity: 100% (467/467)



Congo-001: INSTI Usability Outcomes

Usability Aspect	HSTAR-003 (%) n=900	Congo (%) n=500
Complete critical steps correctly	96.8	95.6
Results interpreted correctly	99.9	99.6
Device and IFU easy to use	99.4	99.0
Would use this test again	95.7	100
Would recommend this test	97.3	100
Successfully have a blood drop fall into INSTI Sample Diluent	96.2	97.0

No differences in performance, acceptance and usability of INSTI Self Test in a low vs high prevalence populations

Lessons Learned from the 4 Self Test Field Studies

bioLytical

- Use of a free-flowing blood drop instead of a transfer pipette was feasible, but modifications to the IFU were necessary to improve success rate in successive studies.
- Overall acceptance of a blood-based self test was very high across a broad cross section of intended users in sub-Saharan Africa settings.
- Overall usability and results interpretation of INSTI HIV Self Test by intended users was very high.
- Self-testers had difficulty comprehending the image for removal of the tab from the lancet, which showed only one hand grasping the tab, but no hand holding the lancet body. Revised IFU shows two hands.
- Further revision of IFU illustrated invalid result for visible test do but no control dot.
- Majority of participants would prefer to use the INSTI self test at home rather than in a clinic setting.



Performance (sensitivity and specificity) meets the minimum FDA requirement (lower bound of 95% CI >95%) for INSTI HIV Self Test.

The IFU is critical to ensure self testers of all literacy and socio-economic levels can complete the test correctly.

Conclusions and Next Steps Blood-based self testing (INSTI) was accurate, easy to perform and very acceptable in the hands of intended users.

As a result of these studies, the INSTI HIV Self Test became the first and only blood-based HIV self test to receive WHO Pre-qualification, in November, 2018.

Pre-submissions under way with FDA and Health Canada for US and Canadian studies of the INSTI HIV Self Test.

Continue to work with research partners towards investigational studies of INSTI HIV Self Test in key populations.



Thank You!



Rick Galli

Chief Technical Officer rgalli@biolytical.com



www.insti.com +1 (604) 204-6784

